## Variable Sound-Fields of Electro-Hydraulic Extracorporeal Shockwave Applicators

CYRILL SLEZAK

BEIJING, MAY  $24^{TH}$ , 2019



## Disclosures & Acknowledgements

There are no financial conflicts of interest to report

BI Trauma

31 Trauma

- Collaborators:
  - Paul Slezak
  - Julia Böhm

  - David Hercher David Hercher
  - Roland Rose Starma

Equipment was generously provided by:







#### Therapy Measurements



# Electro-Hydraulic Sock-Wave Generation



O. Wess. Physikalische Grundlagen der extrakorporalen Stoßwellentherapie. Journal für Mineralstoffwechsel 11(4):7–18, 2004

## Source Variability









#### Continuous-Spark Discharge



#### Reflector Geometry





### Image-Location & Acoustical Focus Stability









**Continuous Source** 

#### Time-Difference of Arrival



#### A Pathway to In-Silico Therapy



#### Nerve Regeneration & Spinal Cord Trauma



Place hydrophone in spinal cord



#### In-situ energy measurement



#### **Therapeutic-application evaluation**



#### **Defocused** Reflector

	15 MPa zone	10 MPa zone	5 MPa zone		
Top view:					
Side view:					

#### Focused Reflector



## Acoustic Windows & Anatomical Entry

- Focusing Reflector (elliptical)
- Continuous Source (spark)
- Entry Angle
  - Between Spinous Processes
  - Through Spinous Processes
  - Lateral to Spinous Processes
  - Laminectomy
- Spark Variability



#### Between Spinous Processes



#### Through Spinous Process



#### Lateral to Spinous Process



#### Laminectomy





#### Straight Spark

#### **Between Spinous Processes**

## 15 MPa zone 10 MPa zone 5 MPa zone Top view: Side view:





#### **Between Spinous Processes**





#### Downward Spark

Between Spinous Processes

	15 MPa zone	10 MPa zone	5 MPa zone		
Top view:					
Side view:					

#### Phantom Reference-Measurements



### In-Silico Approach: Viability for Laminectomy

Top view:

Side view:







#### **Computational Expense**

2D Simulation

TH

UNIVERSITY

OF UTAH

CHPC – Center for High Performance Computing

maximum input pressure: 100 MPa

grid spacing [m]	grid size	displacement of acoustical from	maximum pressure	computing time GPU <sup>1</sup>	memory GPU
		geometrical focus [mm]			
0.125*1e-3	384 x 324 = 124 416	0 0.1250	158 MPa	23 sec	1.52 GB
0.1*1e-3	480 x 400 = 192 000	0 0.3000	162 MPa	33 sec	1.54 GB
1/11*1e-3	512 x 450 = 230 400	0 0.2727	165 MPa	35 sec	1.54 GB
1/13*1e-3	625 x 512 = 320 000	0 0.2308	170 MPa	51 sec	1.56 GB
0.0625*1e-3	750 x 625 = 468 750	0 0.2500	174 MPa	1 min 4 sec	1.59 GB
0.05*1e-3	945 x 768 = 725 760	0 0.2000	180 MPa	1 min 40 sec	1.60 GB
0.04*1e-3	1176 x 1024 = 1 204 224	0 0.2000	188 MPa	3 min 30 sec	1.65 GB
0.01*1e-3	4608 x 3600 = 16 588 800	0 0.1200	230 MPa	2 h 12 min	3.49 GB

#### **3D Simulation**

maximum input pressure: 150 MPa

grid spacing	grid size	compiling	size	size input.h5	displacement of acoustical		maximum	computing	memory
[m]		time input.h5	input.h5	compressed	from geometri	cal focus [mm]	pressure	time lonepeak	lonepeak
0.125*1e-3	384 x 324 x 384 = 47 775 744	20 sec	1.24 GB	2.36 MB	0 -3.7500	0	70 MPa	2 h 40 min	4.86 GB
0.1*1e-3	480 x 400 x 480 = 92 160 000	45 sec	2.40 GB	4.07 MB	0 -3.5000	0	70 MPa	6 h 23 min	9.35 GB
1/11*1e-3	512 x 450 x 512 = 117 964 800	1 min	3.07 GB	5.11 MB	0 -3.5455	0	70 MPa	8 h 36 min	11.96 GB
1/13*1e-3	625 x 512 x 625 = 200 000 000	2 min	5.21 GB	8 MB	0 -3.6923	0	72 MPa	25 h 26 min	20.25 GB
0.0625*1e-3	750 x 625 x 750 = 351 562 500	8 min	9.16 GB	13.5 MB	0 -3.5625	0	72 MPa	48 h 19 min	35.59 GB

\_BI Trauma



# Computational modeling of treatment sessions



- Non-Deterministic Outcomes
- Probabilistic Modeling
- Random walk and treatment patterns comparison



# Computational modeling of treatment sessions

Electro-Hydraulic

Electro-Magnetic



300 Shots4cm penetration depth

### Summary

- Spark-variations modulate sound field
- In-Silico treatment estimations correlate with reference measurements
- Simulations are currently the only way to explore treatment options and devise therapy plans

